

DRAWINGS

The amended replacement sheets of drawings in the attached Appendix include changes to the drawings as follows:

Replacement sheet 2/12 includes Figures 2 and 3, which replaces the original sheet 2/12 including Figures 2 and 3. In Replacement sheet 2/12, references to "14a" and "15a" have been deleted.

Replacement sheet 7/12 includes Figures 13, 14A, 14B, 15A and 15B, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 13, 14A, 14B, 15A and 15B. Replacement sheet 7/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 14A and 14B.

Replacement sheet 10/12 includes Figures 19 and 20, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 19 and 20. Replacement sheet 10/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 19 and 20, and the reference to "G" in Figure 20 has been replaced by "G".

Replacement sheet 11/12 includes Figures 21 and 22, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 21 and 22. Replacement sheet 11/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 21 and 22.

Replacement sheet 12/12 includes Figure 23, which replaces the original sheet including Figure 23. Replacement sheet 12/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figure 23.

REMARKS

In the March 24, 2005 Quayle Office Action, the Examiner noted that all pending claims 1-8 are in condition for allowance, but raised the following formal matters:

1. The oath/declaration is defective;
2. The Drawings are objected to because they include reference signs not mentioned in the specification ("14a" and "15a" in Fig. 2);
3. The Drawings are objected to because Figs. 14A, 14B, and 19-23 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--;
4. The Drawings are objected to because in Fig. 20, reference sign 'G' should be designated 'G" for consistency.
5. The specification is objected to because the title is not descriptive;
6. The specification is objected to because in line 8 on page 1, the date of the priority document should be corrected;
7. The specification is objected to because in lines 8-9 of claim 5, "said bottom magnetic core layer" should be changed to --said lower magnetic core layer-- in order to more clearly refer back to lines 6 and 7 of claim 5.

Applicants respectfully respond as follows:

1. Applicants have attached hereto a new declaration to replace the defective declaration. The objection to the declaration is now moot.
2. Applicants have amended the drawings as described above on page 3. The objections to the drawings are now moot.
3. Applicants have amended the title as set forth above on page 2. The objection to the title is now moot.
4. Applicants have amended claim 5 to in the manner suggested by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully submit that the objections to claim 5 are now moot.

Response to Office Action
Application No. 10/673,004
Page 9

Applicants respectfully submit that all formal matters raised by the Examiner have been corrected.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7.25.05

By: 

Enrique Perez
Registration No. 43,853
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000